

☞ **05hr_SSC-DNRRRR_Misc_pt03a**



☞ **These materials were grouped together (in one envelope).**

(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

**WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ...
PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS**

2005-06

(session year)

Senate Select

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee on ... DNR (SSC-DNRRR)

COMMITTEE NOTICES ...

- Committee Reports ... **CR**
- Executive Sessions ... **ES**
- Public Hearings ... **PH**

INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... **Appt** (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... **CRule** (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
 - (**ab** = Assembly Bill) (**ar** = Assembly Resolution) (**ajr** = Assembly Joint Resolution)
 - (**sb** = Senate Bill) (**sr** = Senate Resolution) (**sjr** = Senate Joint Resolution)
- Miscellaneous ... **Misc**

* Contents organized for archiving by: Mike Barman (LRB) (July/2012)

James Paul Theyerl
4123 County Road B
Manitowoc WI, 54220



Attached
Documents
were enclosed
in this
envelope



Senate District I
AH: Janet
State Senator Alan Lasee
P.O. Box 7882
Madison WI, 53707



1
James Paul Theyerl
07793-089
Federal Prison Camp
P.O. Box 1085
Oxford, WI 53952

State Senator Alan Lasee
Senate District I
P.O. Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707

Dear Senator Lasee

This is a letter to you concerning the Administrative Branch of Government, The DNR. I am reporting how I have been harrasse by the DNR since the year of 2000 and now they have me in Prison for 10 months. I was a Charter boat captain on Lake Michigan for 28 years when Thomas R. Hansen, a warden Supervisor and James Jung a game warden served a subpoena on me for all my records from the Charter boat business. The Subpoena asked for bank accounts, checking accounts, wages to first mate, names and addresses of all clients and any calanders or books used for booking customers. All that is required by a DNR Sport Trolling License is the number of customers we have per trip, how many lines we use, all types and number of fish caught and the boundry of the lake the fish were caught. I had a Sport Trolling license since they started and never had a violation of any kind and had my reports in by the 10th day of every month as required.

I refused to give the DNR my personal books which Thomas R. Hansen required and the DNR put me in Kewaunee County Jail and I am a resident of Manitowoc County and had the business in Manitowoc County. The reason Thomas R. Hansen put me in Kewaunee County Jail was the Assistant District Attorney Alma Anderson is the wife of James Aason a retired DNR warden from Manitowoc County. Judge Mleziva and Assistant D.A. Alma Anderson gave me 9 months in Jail for not producing my records and left me go in 21 days without me showing or producing anything. I did not receive a fine or anything, just lost 21 days of my life for nothing. Then Alma Anderson and Warden Supervisor Thomas R. Hansen tried another approach by getting a sales tax lien on me later in the year of 2000 which I do not even collect sales tax or have a number. Then in 2001 I applied for a Sport Trolling License and they refused giving me a license and kept my \$100.00 postal money order because there was a sales tax Lien. In 2001 I fished without a Sport Trolling license and only charged a Captains Fee and on September 11th, 2001, Thomas R. Hansen, James Jung and two other wardens assaulted me outside of Kurtz's Pub + Grill in Two Rivers with their hands on their guns. They made me give them the \$320.00 I collected for a Captains Fee without a search warrant or they were going to take me to jail again. I gave them the \$320.00 under duress and they never returned it to this day. In 2002 I applied for a Sport Trolling License and the DNR sent my money order back to me and refused me a license again so I fished all summer and they didn't bother me.


In 2003 I applied for a Sport Trolling license and was refused again and the check was sent back. In September of 2003 a group of 8 wardens led by Ryan Volenburgh came down to the boat in Two Rivers after my fishing trip and served me a search warrant for my guest book and harassed all 6 customers. They took the guest book off the boat and the customers told the wardens they would never come back and never buy a license in Wisconsin again. Then Thomas R. Hansen contacted the U.S. Coast Guard to try to get them to take my Captains License away which failed after I wrote letters to the Coast Guard. Then I got a summons to be in court on February 18th, 2004 which I had 12 charges of guiding without a sport Trolling license taken from a guest book. I went to court in front of Court Commissioner Patricia Koppa from Manitowoc County Courthouse and she defaulted me, saying I was not there and I have the court transcript which says I was there in person and not represented by an Attorney. She fined me \$2,109.00 for each count, or 43 days in Jail for each count and 3 years suspension of all DNR Licenses on each count for a total of \$25,308.00 fine, 36 years license suspension and 516 days in Jail and never taken before a Judge. There was a warden and Thomas R. Hansen at this hearing which I walked out and didn't pay anything. Then on September 4th, 2004, 5 squad cars, 6 officers and a dog came to my shop in Francis Creek and assaulted me injuring my shoulder and arm in front of 5 customers and friends at the shop in Francis Creek.

This incident occurred because Thomas R. Hansen told Sheriff Kenneth Petersen that I threatened DNR wardens with shotguns and I was a risk to Law enforcement officers. They cuffed me and took me to Jail again and made me pay \$2109.00 and released me with no medical attention after I reported it and cost me \$434.00 for Xrays which was arm and shoulder strain. Then on April 21st, 2005, I was assaulted in the Copps Food Center parking lot in Manitowish by I.R.S. CIDs for filing false documents and arrested without an arrest warrant and taken to Brown County Jail without bail and held for 102 days where Thomas R. Hansen was the only one to testify how I am a danger to society by threatening wardens with shotguns. I put him on the stand in court and asked him if I ever threatened him physically, verbally or any way he can think of. He replied back saying I called him a terrorist and the DNR a terrorist organization. The Judge asked me if I said that and I said Yes Your Honor and he said that is not a threat, it is a remark. I have over 2500 pages of discovery in this case, over a 5 year period on a Federal case the only witness was Thomas R. Hansen. I am presently a Prisoner at Oxford Prison Camp for 10 months and then 5 years of supervised release due to Thomas R. Hansen's lies and harrassing me for 5 years. Now that he has run both of my businesses out of business I will figure a way for the government to support me. I sent all the customers of 28 years of fishing to Lake Erie in OHIO for guided walleye

fishing trips and these customers write back and say they would never return to Wisconsin after being harassed by the WDNR. I am 56 years old and have hunted, fished, snowmobiled, boated and four wheeled all my life and I will sell everything that I must pay a fee to the WDNR and I will never get a license again. In Wisconsin, It is about time something is done with the WDNR before it is to late. They also continue to harass Pete LeClair of Susie Q Fish Market a friend of mine which you already know of him. Also the WDNR is an Administrative Agency under the Governor and they are to have an Administrative Court and an Administrative Judge which I have never seen. Also I am sending you a copy of a letter from Assistant District Attorney to Thomas R. Hansen which he is collecting witness fees and mileage fees and also paid by the DNR and uses a State owned vehicle. This is something to investigate because this is misconduct in public office. I am hoping for a reply to this information at the following address and I have more things to address and I will continue writing articles in newspapers in the Letter to the Editor column.

James Paul Theyerl
4123 County Road B
Manitowoc WI 54220

Yours Truly
James Paul Theyerl



Office of Jevon J. Jaconi
Kewaunee County District Attorney

March 16, 2000

Warden Tom Hanson
Po Box 10448
Green Bay WI 54307-0448

RE: Investigation of Sports Fishing License
Court File No. 00-GF-2 and 3
D.A. File No. 13034

Dear Warden Hanson:

Enclosed please find an ORIGINAL and a COPY of a subpoena concerning your appearance at the Order to Show Cause Hearing in regards to the above entitled matter set for Thursday, April 6, 2000, at 2:00 p.m.

Please sign the bottom of the ORIGINAL subpoena and return it to our office at your earliest convenience, in the enclosed envelope. Please bring your subpoena with you on the above date, and report to my office. After the hearing take the subpoena to the Clerk of Courts in Room 229. Your witness and mileage fees will be mailed to you in approximately three weeks.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter, and keep in mind that there is always a chance of an adjournment. Should you have any questions please feel free to give my office a call.

Sincerely,

Elma E Anderson

Elma E Anderson
Assistant District Attorney

EEA/jc
Enclosures

Jevon J. Jaconi
District Attorney

Elma E. Anderson
Assistant District Attorney

613 Dodge Street
Kewaunee, WI 54216
920-388-7194
FAX 920-388-3139

E-Mail Address: anderson.elma@mail.da.state.wi.us

Jodi Zimmerman
Paralegal/Secretary
Janice Jaeger
Victim Witness Coordinator
Jennifer Cmeyla
Secretary

Dear Alan

I sent a letter in January before your last meeting in Green Bay reporting the criminal activities the D.N.R. is involved in and have not received a reply, yet. Enclosed are copies of some more criminal activities by DNR officials in Court with the rules from the Wisconsin Statute Books. I appeared before the Court Commissioner Patricia Kopp as seen on the transcript cover and she defaulted me for not being present. Thomas R. Hansen DNR Supervisor and George Protege a DNR Supervisor were present and they figured the fine and charges for the D.A. and they came up with \$2109.00 each for 12 offenses for a total of \$25,308.00. The District Attorney Mark Rohrer was selected by James Doyle because of a donation made by Rohrer for his campaign fund because of a vacant spot in Manitowoc County. Then I bought a Patrons license and all the extras and on August 24th I got an amended default judgement revoking all my licenses because of Thomas R. Hansen. All the high lighted areas in the Wisconsin Statutes show the criminal activities they are involved in. These laws were made by the Executive branch and our Legislatures for these people to follow and they are not above the law. The Manitowoc County Sheriffs Department has picked me up twice and demanded 42 days in jail or a \$2109.00 fine, which they extorted the \$4218.00 from me and injured me by slamming me against the squad car with 6 officers and a dog. I had an injured shoulder from the incident which cost \$483.00 and the County refused to pay for it.

-Over

Now that the DNR Supervisor Thomas R. Hanson has me in the Oxford Prison Camp with all his lies, the only way I get released is pay the fine or the Manitowoc County Sheriffs Department will pick me up on June 16th 2006. The detainer is 420 days in Jail or \$21090.00 fine which there has never been a Court before a Circuit Judge or an offer for a Jury trial. I can not pay the fine because of the 14 months I have been incarcerated and the DNR has run my Charter Boat Business and Storage business out of business. I have never had a fishing, hunting or any DNR violation in 43 years until Thomas R. Hansen and James Jung started a conspiracy against me when they wanted all my personal records. I am 57 years old and I sure do not enjoy sitting in Jail for this DNR organization. I will be looking forward for a reply to this letter at your convenience for some help. Please reply to the address below.

Very Truly
James Paul Theyerl

James Paul Theyerl
07793-089
Federal Prison Camp
P.O. Box 1085
Oxford WI 53952

P.S. IF you have my last letter check to see if Thomas R. Hanson is still collecting Subpoena fees and mileage fees when state owned vehicles are being used.

1 STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT : MANITOWOC COUNTY

2 *****

3 STATE OF WISCONSIN,

4 Plaintiff,

5 vs.

Case No. 04-FO-47

6 JAMES P. THEYERL,

7 Defendant.

8 *****

9 LOCATION: Manitowoc County Courthouse
10 1010 South 8th Street
Manitowoc, WI 54220

11 BEFORE: HONORABLE PATRICIA KOPPA
12 Circuit Court Commissioner

13 DATE: February 18, 2004

COPY

14 A P P E A R A N C E S

15
16 MARK ROHRER
District Attorney
17 Appearing on behalf of the State.

18 Defendant appeared in person.
19

20 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
21
22
23
24
25

State of Wisconsin vs. James P. Theyerl

Default Judgment

Date of Birth: 01-23-1949

Case No: 2004FO000047

JAMES P. THEYERL
4123 CTH B
MANITOWOC WI 54220

THE COURT FINDS:

- The defendant was given notice that this matter was to be heard on 02-18-2004 at 11:15 am.
- The scheduled time has passed.
- The defendant has not appeared or posted a cash bond.
- The failure of the defendant to appear or post a cash bond can be treated as a no-contest plea.
- The defendant's failure to appear is deemed to be a plea of no contest, and the defendant is found guilty of the following charges.

No.	Citation	Violation Date	Conviction Date	Statute	Description
1		08-16-2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
2		Spring/Summer 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
3		On or about 6-26-2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
4		July 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
5		On or about July 20, 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
6		On or about July , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
7		On or about July , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
8		On or about August , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
9		On or about July 5, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
10		On or about August 7, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
11		On or about August 17, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying
12		09-06-2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Troiling Lic.-Outlying

IT IS ORDERED:

- Judgment be entered against the defendant.
- The following penalty is imposed.

Ct.	Sentence	Comments	Amount
1	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
2	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
3	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
4	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
5	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
6	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
7	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
8	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
9	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
10	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00
11	Forfeiture / Fine		2109.00

State of Wisconsin vs. James P. Theyerl

Default Judgment

Date of Birth: 01-23-1949

Case No: 2004FO000047

12 Forfeiture / Fine

2109.00

Total Amount Assessed	\$	25308.00
Less Amount Paid/Other	\$	0.00
Total Amount Due	\$	25308.00

- The amount is to be paid no later than 03-19-2004, days from the date of this judgment.
- The clerk of courts shall promptly mail a copy of this judgment to the defendant's last known address.

Send payment to:

Clerk of Circuit Court
1010 South 8th Street
P. O. Box 2000
Manitowoc, WI 54221-2000

BY THE COURT:


Court Official

Date

February 18, 2004

State of Wisconsin vs. James P. Theyerl

Default Judgment

AMENDED

Date of Birth: 01-23-1949

Case No: 2004FO000047

MANITOWOC COUNTY
STATE OF WISCONSIN
FILED

AUG 24 2004

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

*Amended*JAMES P. THEYERL
4123 CTH B
MANITOWOC WI 54220**THE COURT FINDS:**

- The defendant was given notice that this matter was to be heard on 02-18-2004 at 11:15 am.
- The scheduled time has passed.
- The defendant has not appeared or posted a cash bond.
- The failure of the defendant to appear or post a cash bond can be treated as a no-contest plea.
- The defendant's failure to appear is deemed to be a plea of no contest, and the defendant is found guilty of the following charges.

No.	Citation	Violation Date	Conviction Date	Statute	Description
1		08-16-2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
2		Spring/Summer 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
3		On or about 6-26-2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
4		July 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
5		On or about July 20, 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
6		On or about July , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
7		On or about July , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
8		On or about August , 2002	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
9		On or about July 5, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
10		On or about August 7, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
11		On or about August 17, 2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying
12		09-06-2003	02-18-2004	29.514(1)	Guide w/o Sport Trailing Lic.-Outlying

IT IS ORDERED:

- Judgment be entered against the defendant.
- The following penalty is imposed:

Ct.	Sentence	Comments	Amount
1	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
2	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
3	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
4	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and	2109.00 0.00

State of Wisconsin vs. James P. Theyerl

Default Judgment

AMENDED

Date of Birth: 01-23-1949

Case No: 2004FO000047

5	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	privileges. Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
6	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
7	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
8	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
9	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
10	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
11	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00
12	Forfeiture / Fine Non-probation conditions	Three year suspension of all chapter 29 approvals and privileges.	2109.00 0.00

Total Amount Assessed	\$	25308.00
Less Amount Paid/Other	\$	0.00
Total Amount Due	\$	25308.00

- The amount is to be paid no later than **03-19 -2004**, days from the date of this judgment.
- If the forfeiture is not paid within the required time, a civil judgment may be entered, the matter may be referred to a collection agency, and/or your tax refund may be intercepted.
- The clerk of courts shall promptly mail a copy of this judgment to the defendant's last known address.

Send payment to:

Clerk of Circuit Court
1010 South 8th Street
P. O. Box 2000
Manitowoc, WI 54221-2000

BY THE COURT:

Court Official

Date

This document is a full certified copy of the
original on file in the Office of the Clerk of
Circuit Court, Manitowoc County, State of
Wisconsin

Date: **AUG 31 2004**
Deputy Clerk of Circuit Court

23.50 Procedure in forfeiture actions. (1) The procedure in ss. 23.50 to 23.85 applies to all actions in circuit court to recover forfeitures, penalty assessments, jail assessments, applicable weapons assessments, applicable environmental assessments, applicable wild animal protection assessments, applicable natural resources assessments, applicable fishing shelter removal assessments, applicable snowmobile registration restitution payments and applicable natural resources restitution payments for violations of ss. 77.09, 134.60, 167.10 (3), 167.31 (2), 281.48 (2) to (5), 283.33, 285.57 (2), 285.59 (2), (3) (c) and (4), 287.07, 287.08, 287.81 and 299.64 (2), subch. VI of ch. 77, this chapter and chs. 26 to 31 and of ch. 350, and any administrative rules promulgated thereunder, violations specified under s. 285.86, violations of rules of the Kickapoo reserve management board under s. 41.41 (7) (k) or violations of local ordinances enacted by any local authority in accordance with s. 23.33 (11) (am) or 30.77.

(2) All actions to recover these forfeitures, penalty assessments, jail assessments, applicable weapons assessments, applicable environmental assessments, applicable wild animal protection assessments, applicable natural resources assessments, applicable fishing shelter removal assessments, applicable snowmobile registration restitution payments and applicable natural resources restitution payments are civil actions in the name of the state of Wisconsin, shall be heard in the circuit court for the county where the offense occurred, and shall be recovered under the procedure set forth in ss. 23.50 to 23.85.

(3) All actions in municipal court to recover forfeitures, penalty assessments and jail assessments for violations of local ordinances enacted by any local authority in accordance with s. 23.33 (11) (am) or 30.77 shall utilize the procedure in ch. 800. The actions shall be brought before the municipal court having jurisdiction. Provisions relating to citations, arrests, questioning, releases, searches, deposits and stipulations of no contest in ss. 23.51 (1), (3) and (8), 23.53, 23.54, 23.56 to 23.64, 23.66 and 23.67 shall apply to violations of such ordinances.

(4) Where a fine or imprisonment, or both, is imposed by a statute enumerated in sub. (1), the procedure in ch. 968 shall apply.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29, 305; 1977 c. 449 ss. 44, 497; 1979 c. 32 s. 92 (17); 1979 c. 34 ss. 703b, 2102 (39) (f); 1981 c. 390; 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27; 1987 a. 200 s. 4; 1989 a. 79, 284, 335, 359; 1991 a. 39, 97; 1993 a. 16, 243, 344, 349, 491; 1995 a. 27, 216, 227, 290; 1997 a. 35; 1999 a. 9.

23.51 Words and phrases defined. In ss. 23.50 to 23.85 the following words and phrases have the designated meanings unless a different meaning is expressly provided or the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

(1) "Citation" means a pleading of essential facts and applicable law coupled with a demand for judgment, which notifies the person cited of a violation of a statute or rule enumerated in s. 23.50 (1) or of a violation of a local ordinance, and requests the person to appear in court. Part of the citation is a complaint.

(2) "Complaint" means the pleading of essential facts and applicable law coupled with a demand for judgment.

(2L) "Corporation" includes a limited liability company.

(2p) "Crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 165.755.

(3) "Enforcing officer" means peace officer as defined by s. 939.22 (22), or a person who has authority to act pursuant to a specific statute.

(3c) "Environmental assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 299.93.

(3g) "Fishing shelter removal assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 29.985.

(3m) "Jail assessment" means the assessment imposed by s. 302.46 (1).

(4) "Natural resources assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 29.987.

(5) "Natural resources restitution payment" means the payment imposed under s. 29.989.

(6) "Penalty assessment" means the penalty assessment imposed by s. 757.05.

(6m) "Snowmobile registration restitution payment" means the payment imposed under s. 350.115.

(7) "Summons" means an order to appear in court at a particular time and place. It accompanies the delivery of a complaint but not a citation.

(8) "Violation" means conduct which is prohibited by state law or municipal ordinance and punishable by a forfeiture, a penalty assessment, a jail assessment and a crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment.

(9) "Weapons assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 167.31 (5).

(10) "Wild animal protection assessment" means the assessment imposed under s. 29.983.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29; 1979 c. 34; 1985 a. 36; 1985 a. 332 s. 251 (7); 1987 a. 27; 1989 a. 107; 1991 a. 39; 1993 a. 112; 1995 a. 227; 1997 a. 27, 248; 1999 a. 9.

23.52 Two forms of action. Actions under this chapter may be commenced by a citation, or by a complaint and summons.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.53 Use of citation. (1) The citation created under this section shall, in all actions to recover forfeitures, penalty assessments, jail assessments, applicable weapons assessments, applicable environmental assessments, applicable wild animal protection assessments, applicable natural resources assessments, applicable fishing shelter removal assessments, applicable snowmobile registration restitution payments and applicable natural resources restitution payments for violations of those statutes enumerated in s. 23.50 (1), any administrative rules promulgated thereunder, and any rule of the Kickapoo reserve management board under s. 41.41 (7) (k) be used by any law enforcement officer with authority to enforce those laws, except that the uniform traffic citation created under s. 345.11 may be used by a traffic officer employed under s. 110.07 in enforcing s. 167.31 or by an officer of a law enforcement agency of a municipality or county or a traffic officer employed under s. 110.07 in enforcing s. 287.81. In accordance with s. 345.11 (1m), the citation shall not be used for violations of ch. 350 relating to highway use. The citation may be used for violations of local ordinances enacted by any local authority in accordance with s. 23.33 (11) (am) or 30.77.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of the statutes, the use of the citation by any enforcing officer in connection with a violation is adequate process to give the appropriate court jurisdiction over the person upon the filing with such court of the citation.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29; 1979 c. 34; 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27; 1987 a. 200 s. 4; 1989 a. 335; 1991 a. 39; 1993 a. 349; 1995 a. 27, 216, 227; 1997 a. 35.

After issuing a citation to an Indian fisherman, the state must prove at the pre-trial hearing that enforcement against the Indian fishermen is reasonable and necessary. *State v. Peterson*, 98 Wis. 2d 487, 297 N.W.2d 52 (Ct. App. 1980).

23.54 Citation form. (1) The citation shall contain a complaint, a case history and a report of court action on the case.

(2) It must appear on the face of the citation that there is probable cause to believe that a violation has been committed and that the defendant has committed that violation.

(3) The citation form shall provide for the following:

(a) The name, address, social security number and date of birth of the defendant.

(b) The department permit or license number of the defendant, if applicable.

(c) The name and department of the issuing officer.

(d) The violation alleged, the time and place of occurrence, a statement that the defendant committed the violation, the statute, administrative rule or ordinance violated and a designation of the violation in language which can be readily understood by a person making a reasonable effort to do so.

having jurisdiction, sealing the envelope, signing a statement on the back of the envelope stating the amount of money enclosed and returning the envelope to the enforcing officer. The officer shall deliver the envelope and a copy of the citation to the office of the clerk of courts in the county where the offense allegedly occurred or to the office of the municipal court having jurisdiction. The officer shall note on the face of the citation the serial number of the envelope used in making a deposit under this paragraph.

(1m) The enforcing officer or the person receiving the deposit may allow the alleged violator to submit a check, share draft or other draft for the amount of the deposit or make the deposit by use of a credit card.

(2) The person receiving the deposit shall prepare a receipt in triplicate showing the purpose for which the deposit is made, stating that the defendant may inquire at the office of the clerk of court or municipal court regarding the disposition of the deposit, and notifying the defendant that if he or she fails to appear in court at the time fixed in the citation he or she will be deemed to have tendered a plea of no contest and submitted to a forfeiture, a penalty assessment, a jail assessment, a crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, any applicable weapons assessment, any applicable environmental assessment, any applicable wild animal protection assessment, any applicable natural resources assessment, any applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, any applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and any applicable natural resources restitution payment plus costs, including any applicable fees prescribed in ch. 814, not to exceed the amount of the deposit which the court may accept. The original of the receipt shall be delivered to the defendant in person or by mail. If the defendant pays by check, share draft or other draft, the check, share draft or other draft or a microfilm copy of the check, share draft or other draft shall be considered a receipt. If the defendant makes the deposit by use of a credit card, the credit charge receipt shall be considered a receipt.

(3) If the court does not accept the deposit as a forfeiture for the offense, a summons shall be issued. If the defendant fails to respond to the summons, an arrest warrant shall be issued.

(4) The basic amount of the deposit shall be determined in accordance with a deposit schedule that the judicial conference shall establish. Annually, the judicial conference shall review and may revise the schedule. In addition to the basic amount determined according to the schedule, the deposit shall include court costs, including any applicable fees prescribed in ch. 814, any applicable penalty assessment, any applicable jail assessment, any applicable crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, any applicable weapons assessment, any applicable environmental assessment, any applicable wild animal protection assessment, any applicable natural resources assessment, any applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, any applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and any applicable natural resources restitution payment.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29, 449; 1979 c. 34; 1981 c. 317, 391; 1983 a. 368, 456; 1985 a. 29, 36, 332; 1987 a. 27, 399; 1991 a. 39; 1997 a. 27.

23.67 Deposit and stipulation of no contest. (1) If pursuant to the procedure of s. 23.62 a person is cited or arrested, such person may make a deposit and stipulation of no contest, and submit them in the same manner as the deposit in s. 23.66.

(2) The deposit and stipulation of no contest may be made at any time prior to the court appearance date. By signing the stipulation, the defendant is deemed to have tendered a plea of no contest and submitted to a forfeiture, a penalty assessment, a jail assessment, a crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, any applicable weapons assessment, any applicable environmental assessment, any applicable wild animal protection assessment, any applicable natural resources assessment, any applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, any applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and any applicable natural resources

restitution payment plus costs, including any applicable fees prescribed in ch. 814, not to exceed the amount of the deposit.

(3) The person receiving the deposit and stipulation of no contest shall prepare a receipt in triplicate showing the purpose for which the deposit is made, stating that the defendant may inquire at the office of the clerk of court or municipal court regarding the disposition of the deposit, and notifying the defendant that if the stipulation of no contest is accepted by the court the defendant will be deemed to have submitted to a forfeiture, a penalty assessment, a jail assessment, a crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, any applicable weapons assessment, any applicable environmental assessment, any applicable wild animal protection assessment, any applicable natural resources assessment, any applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, any applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and any applicable natural resources restitution payment plus costs, including any applicable fees prescribed in ch. 814, not to exceed the amount of the deposit. Delivery of the receipt shall be made in the same manner as in s. 23.66.

(4) If the court does not accept the deposit and stipulation of no contest, a summons shall be issued. If the defendant fails to respond to the summons, an arrest warrant shall be issued.

(5) The defendant may, within 10 days after signing the stipulation or at the time of the court appearance date, move the court for relief from the effects of the stipulation, pursuant to s. 23.75 (3) (c).

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29; 1979 c. 34; 1981 c. 317; 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27, 399; 1991 a. 39; 1997 a. 27.

23.68 Pleading. The citation or complaint issued pursuant to s. 23.62 or 23.65 may serve as the initial pleading and, notwithstanding any other provisions of the statutes, shall be deemed adequate process to give the appropriate court jurisdiction over the person upon the filing of the citation or complaint with such court.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.69 Motions. Any motion which is capable of determination without the trial of the general issue shall be made before trial.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.70 Arraignment; plea. (1) If the defendant appears in response to a citation or a summons, or is arrested and brought before a court with jurisdiction to try the case, the defendant shall be informed that he or she is entitled to a jury trial and then asked whether he or she wishes to plead. If the defendant wishes to plead, he or she may plead guilty, not guilty or no contest.

(2) If the defendant pleads guilty or no contest, the court may accept the plea, find the defendant guilty and proceed under s. 23.78.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.71 Not guilty plea; immediate trial. If the defendant pleads not guilty and states that he or she waives the right to jury trial and wishes an immediate trial, the case may be tried forthwith if the state consents.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.72 Not guilty plea. If the defendant pleads not guilty the court shall set a date for trial or advise the defendant that he or she will be notified of the date set for trial. The defendant shall be released upon payment of a deposit as set forth in s. 23.66, or the court may release the defendant on his or her own recognizance. If a defendant fails to appear at the date set under this section, the court may issue a warrant under ch. 968 and, if the defendant has posted a deposit for appearance at that date, the court may order the deposit forfeited.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.73 Discovery. Neither party is entitled to pretrial discovery except that if the defendant moves within 10 days after the alleged violation and shows cause therefor, the court may order that the defendant be allowed to inspect and test under such condi-

(2) The payment of any judgment may be suspended or deferred for not more than 90 days in the discretion of the court. In cases where a deposit has been made, any forfeitures, penalty assessments, jail assessments, weapons assessments, environmental assessments, wild animal protection assessments, natural resources assessments, fishing shelter removal assessments, snowmobile registration restitution payments, natural resources restitution payments or costs shall be taken out of the deposit and the balance, if any, returned to the defendant.

(3) In addition to any monetary penalties, the court may order the defendant to perform or refrain from performing such acts as may be necessary to fully protect and effectuate the public interest. The court may order abatement of a nuisance, restoration of a natural resource, restoration of an archaeological feature subject to the prohibition under s. 23.095 (1m), or other appropriate action designed to eliminate or minimize any environmental damage caused by the defendant.

✱ (4) The court may, where provided by law, revoke or suspend any or all privileges and licenses.

(5) All civil remedies are available in order to enforce the judgment of the court, including the power of contempt under ch. 785.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29; 1979 c. 32 s. 92 (13); 1979 c. 34; 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27; 1991 a. 39; 1995 a. 391; 1997 a. 27.

23.795 Nonpayment of judgments. (1) If a defendant fails to timely pay a judgment entered under s. 23.75 (3) (a) 2. or 23.79, the court may issue an arrest warrant or a summons ordering the defendant to appear in court or both. If the defendant appears before the court pursuant to a warrant or summons or the defendant otherwise notifies the court that he or she is unable to pay the judgment, the court shall conduct a hearing. If the defendant failed to pay the forfeiture, the court shall determine if the defendant is unable to pay the amount specified in the judgment for good cause or because of the defendant's indigence. If the court determines that the failure of the defendant to comply with the judgment is for good cause or because of the defendant's indigence, the court may order that the amount of the judgment be modified, suspended or permanently stayed. If the defendant fails to appear before the court for a hearing under this subsection or if the court determines at the hearing that the failure of a defendant to pay the judgment is not for good cause or not because of the defendant's indigence, the court shall order one of the following:

(a) That the defendant be imprisoned for a time not to exceed 5 days or until the amount is paid, whichever is less.

(b) That the amount of the judgment be modified, suspended or permanently stayed.

✱ (2) In lieu of an order of imprisonment under sub. (1) (a) for a violation of ch. 29, the court may revoke or suspend any privilege or approval granted under ch. 29 as provided in s. 29.971 (12).

History: 1993 a. 156; 1997 a. 248.

23.80 Judgment against a corporation or municipality.

(1) If a corporation or municipality fails to appear within the time required by the citation or summons, the default of such corporation or municipality may be recorded and the charge against it taken as true and judgment shall be rendered accordingly.

(2) Upon default of the defendant corporation or municipality, or upon conviction, judgment for the amount of the forfeiture, the penalty assessment, the jail assessment, the crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, any applicable weapons assessment, any applicable environmental assessment, any applicable wild animal protection assessment, any applicable natural resources assessment, any applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, any applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and any applicable natural resources restitution payment shall be entered.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29; 1979 c. 34; 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27; 1991 a. 39; 1997 a. 27.

23.81 Effect of plea of no contest. Forfeiture of deposit under s. 23.75 (3) (b), an accepted plea of no contest under s. 23.70, or a stipulation of no contest under s. 23.75 (3) (c) to a charge of violation of a natural resources law shall not be admissible in evidence as an admission against interest in any action or proceeding arising out of the same occurrence.

History: 1975 c. 365.

23.82 Fees. Fees in forfeiture actions under this chapter are prescribed in s. 814.63.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 305, 318, 449; 1981 c. 317.

23.83 Appeal. (1) **JURISDICTION ON APPEAL.** Appeal may be taken by either party. On appeal from the circuit court, the appeal is to the court of appeals.

(2) **STAY OF EXECUTION.** The amount of undertaking required to stay execution on appeal shall not exceed the amount of the maximum forfeiture, applicable weapons assessment, applicable environmental assessment, applicable wild animal protection assessment, applicable natural resources assessment, applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and applicable natural resources restitution payment plus court costs.

(3) **PROCEDURE ON APPEAL.** An appeal to the court of appeals shall be in accordance with chs. 808 and 809.

History: 1975 c. 365, 421; 1977 c. 187, 305, 449; 1979 c. 34; 1985 a. 36; 1991 a. 39.

23.84 Forfeitures and assessments collected; to whom paid. Except for actions in municipal court, all moneys collected in favor of the state or a municipality for forfeiture, penalty assessment, jail assessment, crime laboratories and drug law enforcement assessment, applicable weapons assessment, applicable environmental assessment, applicable wild animal protection assessment, applicable natural resources assessment, applicable fishing shelter removal assessment, applicable snowmobile registration restitution payment and applicable natural resources restitution payment shall be paid by the officer who collects the same to the appropriate municipal or county treasurer, within 20 days after its receipt by the officer, except that all jail assessments shall be paid to the county treasurer. In case of any failure in the payment, the municipal or county treasurer may collect the payment from the officer by an action in the treasurer's name of office and upon the official bond of the officer, with interest at the rate of 12% per year from the time when it should have been paid.

History: 1975 c. 365; 1977 c. 29, 305; 1979 c. 34; 1979 c. 110 s. 60 (13); 1985 a. 36; 1987 a. 27; 1991 a. 39; 1997 a. 27.

23.85 Statement to county board; payment to state.

Every county treasurer shall, on the first day of the annual meeting of the county board of supervisors, submit to it a verified statement of all forfeitures, penalty assessments, jail assessments, weapons assessments, environmental assessments, wild animal protection assessments, natural resources assessments, fishing shelter removal assessments, snowmobile registration restitution payments and natural resources restitution payments money received during the previous year. The county clerk shall deduct all expenses incurred by the county in recovering those forfeitures, penalty assessments, weapons assessments, environmental assessments, wild animal protection assessments, natural resources assessments, fishing shelter removal assessments, snowmobile registration restitution payments and natural resources restitution payments from the aggregate amount so received, and shall immediately certify the amount of clear proceeds of those forfeitures, penalty assessments, weapons assessments, environmental assessments, wild animal protection assessments, natural resources assessments, fishing shelter removal assessments, snowmobile registration restitution payments and natural resources restitution payments to the county treasurer, who shall pay the proceeds to the state treasurer as provided in s.

ORIGINAL

PLAINTIFF CASE NUMBER

(X) STATE OF WISCONSIN
COUNTY OF MANITOWOC

04 FO 47

DEFENDANT'S NAME BIRTHDATE

JAMES P. THEYERL
4123 CTH B
MANITOWOC, WI 54220

01-23-1949

CHARGE SECTION NUMBER

ONE (1) COUNT OF GUIDE WITHOUT SPORT TROLLING LICENSE 29.514 (1)

VIOLATION DATE CONVICTION DATE DATE PAYMENT DUE

08-16-2003

02-18-2004

03-19-2004

TOTAL DUE AMOUNT PAID AMOUNT OVERDUE

\$2,109.00

\$0.00

\$2,109.00

COMMITMENT LENGTH

FORTY-TWO (42) DAYS.

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER:

The defendant named above having failed to pay the fine or forfeiture plus costs in the time allowed, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the defendant be taken into custody and turned over to the Sheriff of Manitowoc County; and the defendant is hereby committed to the Manitowoc County Jail, with Huber privileges, until the amount overdue is paid, but not to exceed the commitment length indicated above.

Date:

9/1/04

Paul A. Wells

Circuit Judge

NOTICE TO CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT FROM MANITOWOC COUNTY JAIL

The defendant in this case has served the commitment ordered above.

Date sentence begun

9-3-04

Date sentence finished

10-5-04 (1800)

Good time credit

10 Days

Jailer

Sgt Tuci

9/3/04

Date

PAID
\$2,109.00
on 9/3/04
Cm/SPD

James Paul Theyerl

07793-089

Federal Prison Camp

P.O. Box 1085

Oxford WI 53952

Return Service Requested




State Senate District I

State Senator Alan Lasee

P.O. Box 7882

Madison WI 53707

53707+7882-A2 B007



IMPORTANT MESSAGE

STICKER
HERE

AM
PM

Time

Date

For

M

Jim Theyerl

Of

Phone #

AREA CODE

NUMBER

EXT.

TIME TO CALL

☐ FAX
☐ MOBILE

PHONED

PLEASE
CALL

RETURNED
YOUR CALL

WILL CALL
AGAIN

WANT TO
SEE YOU

WANT TO
SEE YOU

FOR FAX

FORM 4013

20-682-6114

Let that damn corruption off

Signed

7-18-06

- lives in Leibham district
- works in your district
- Wants me to circulate his info to DNR Select Committee members
- Wants our office to look into his case. (Judicial Miscorder)
- I called DA Mark Rohrer, Manitowoc Co. to get all the info. He would prefer to speak to you personally
920-683-4077 direct line
920-973-0014 cell

Info From Leibham Office

- violations stem from fishing w/out a license & not registering his boat
- He made verbal death threats about DNR & Brett Davis → Cap. Police was called

→ DOJ ←

- Judge
- DNR tax lien ← (Thomas R. Hanson)
- Added charges up
- Customers sent to Ohio ←
-
- Send it around
- 38-45 days

→ Judge Willis

→ Manitowoc Co. DA

→

① Mark Rohrer

① Charges ?

② Statute of limitations

7/17/06 - Sen. 1/14 w/ DA Mark Rohrer

Hello Janet

Here is all the things I have done to try to get the courts and the DNR to follow the rules. Enclosed is a copy from Elma Anderson offering to pay subpoena fees for witness fees and mileage fees which they are being paid and are driving a state vehicle.

Also they summons me to Kewaunee County and I have never done business in Kewaunee County or lived there.

Read W.I. Stat. 23.50 where they must take you to your county or where the violation happened. There never was a violation in 25 years on my guide license. They now have run me out of business and put me in prison for 10 months, due to fishing.

March 15th 2006

Dear Alan

I sent a letter in January before your last meeting in Green Bay reporting the criminal activities the DNR is involved in and have not received a reply, yet. Enclosed are copies of some more criminal activities by DNR officials in Court with the rules from the Wisconsin Statute Books. I appeared before the Court Commissioner Patricia Kopp as seen on the transcript cover and she defaulted me for not being present. Thomas R. Hansen DNR Supervisor and George Protege a DNR supervisor were present and they figured the fine and charges for the D.A. and they came up with \$2109.00 each for 12 offenses for a total of \$25,308.00. The District Attorney Mark Rohrer was selected by James Doyle because of a donation made by Rohrer for his campaign fund because of a vacant spot in Manitowish County. Then I bought a Patrons license and all the extras and on August 24th I got an amended default judgment revoking all my licenses because of Thomas R. Hansen. All the high lighted areas in the Wisconsin Statutes show the criminal activities they are involved in. These laws were made by the Executive branch and our Legislatures for these people to follow and they are not above the law. The Manitowish County Sheriffs Department has picked me up twice and demanded 42 days in jail or a \$2109.00 fine, which they extorted the \$4218.00 from me and injured me by slamming me against the squad car with 6 officers and a dog. I had an injured shoulder from the incident which cost \$483.00 and the County refused to pay for it.

Over

Now that the DNR Supervisor Thomas R. Hansen has me in the Oxford Prison Camp with all his lies, the only way I get released is pay the fine or the Manitowish County Sheriff's Department will pick me up on June 16th 2006. The detainer is 420 days in Jail or \$21090.00 fine which there has never been a Court before a Circuit Judge or an offer for a Jury trial. I can not pay the fine because of the 14 months I have been incarcerated and the DNR has run my Charter Boat Business and Storage business out of business. I have never had a fishing, hunting or any DNR violation in 43 years until Thomas R. Hansen and James Jung started a conspiracy against me when they wanted all my personal records. I am 57 years old and I sure do not enjoy sitting in Jail for this DNR organization. I will be looking forward for a reply to this letter at your convenience for some help. Please reply to the address below.

James Paul Theyerl
07793-089
Federal Prison Camp
P.O. Box 1055
Oxford W. 53952

Very Truly
James Paul Theyerl

P.S. IF you have my last letter check to see if Thomas R Hansen is still collecting subpoena fees and mileage fees when state owned vehicles are being used.

Senate District I

State Senator Alan Lasee

P.O. Box 7882

Madison WI 53707

March 29th 2006

Dear Alan

I sent a letter to your office on two occasions and to this day have not gotten a reply. I read the news papers and see that your office needs information on the abuse by the DNR. I am trying to get some information to you for your help at getting this DNR organization under control. There are people from all over this state that are complaining about the DNR and it sure would be nice if you can do it with our support. I will be waiting to hear from you. Please reply to the address below.

James Paul Theyerl

07793-089

Federal Prison Camp


P.O. Box 1085

Oxford WI 53952

Very Truly

James Paul Theyerl

P.S. I have a person with all the documents from the DNR and cases from mine that would be available if you would like them.



Office of Jevon J. Jaconi
Kewaunee County District Attorney

March 16, 2000

Warden Tom Hanson
Po Box 10448
Green Bay WI 54307-0448

RE: Investigation of Sports Fishing License
Court File No. 00-GF-2 and 3
D.A. File No. 13034

Dear Warden Hanson:

Enclosed please find an ORIGINAL and a COPY of a subpoena concerning your appearance at the Order to Show Cause Hearing in regards to the above entitled matter set for Thursday, April 6, 2000, at 2:00 p.m.

Please sign the bottom of the ORIGINAL subpoena and return it to our office at your earliest convenience, in the enclosed envelope. Please bring your subpoena with you on the above date, and report to my office. After the hearing take the subpoena to the Clerk of Courts in Room 229. Your witness and mileage fees will be mailed to you in approximately three weeks.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter, and keep in mind that there is always a chance of an adjournment. Should you have any questions please feel free to give my office a call.

Sincerely,

Elma E Anderson

Elma E Anderson
Assistant District Attorney

EEA/jc
Enclosures

Jevon J. Jaconi
District Attorney

613 Dodge Street
Kewaunee, WI 54216
920-388-7194
FAX 920-388-3139

Elma E. Anderson
Assistant District Attorney

E-Mail Address: anderson.elma@mail.da.state.wi.us

Jodi Zimmerman
Paralegal/Secretary
Janice Jaeger
Victim Witness Coordinator
Jennifer Cmeyla
Secretary

James Paul Theyerl
4123 CTH B
Manitowoc, Wi 54220

Jerry Lang: Administrator &
L. Edward Stengel Chief Judge for District 4
315 Algoma Blvd. Suite 102
Oshkosh, Wi 54901-4773

September 29, 2003

Dear Mr Lang & L. Edward Stengel;

Enclosed and attached to this cover letter is an Affidavit of probable cause which can serve as a formal complaint against two Manitowoc County Judges, Fred H. Hazelwood and Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer for violations of their oaths of office promise contract to uphold the laws of this state and the duty to protect the rights of the inhabitants thereof.

Judging from the recent news coverage in regards to the Steven Avery case, Mr Hazelwood's contempt for the rule of law and dereliction of the duty to protect an individuals right to substantive and procedural due process has been occurring for an extended period of time. I do not expect that a review of every case that Mr Hazelwood has been in charge of, but I do demand that this body investigate his handling of case # 01-FO-673. The affidavit attached hereto is sufficient cause for a formal investigation by the "appropriate authority " as that term is defined in SCR 60.01 (1).

The conduct of Darryl W. Deets is brought into question by the fact that the purported search warrant signed by him on September 5th, 2003 is a substantive and procedural nullity for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. There is **no statutory requirement** for the keeping of a log of customers who ride on the boat in question. Being schooled in the law and purportedly being competent to administer the law, has no excuse for the preparation or signing of any type of warrant without subject matter jurisdiction, or allowing such document to be issued without bringing document into compliance with the mandatory statutory requirements.

Ryan Volenburg's purported complaint lacks any substantive issues over which he himself has any jurisdiction over, further rendering and reducing Darryl W. Deets search warrant to that of a simulation of legal process, in violation of 946.68 (1g) of the Wisconsin Statutes. Which in this state is considered a felony offense by the public policy statutes.

Mark R. Rohrer as the present prosecutor for Manitowoc County who is purportedly competent and qualified to act in that capacity, chose to follow Mr Hazelwoods prompting letter to the then special prosecutor Douglass K. Jones to do something to reactivate a case that according to record of the case never entered into this public forum court. In total disregard for the "law" as it is latter defined.

Mark R. Rohrer scheduling of a motions hearing to be held on April 24th, 2003 was totally void of any lawful jurisdiction, and was merely a deceitful ploy to allow Mr Hazelwood to according to his own words on that day "activate the arrest warrant." Such conduct is in violation of Wisconsin Statute 946.12 (3).

I will expect that the administrator forward this on to professional responsibility

committee and the judicial commission and that those bodies "investigate" as per SCR 21.08 (3) (a), prepare and provide service upon the above named parties a complaint with an order to answer as per SCR 22.11 (1) and respond to these allegations of misconduct in public office being made under SCR 21.09 (1) and 946.12 et al, of the code. (Wisconsin Statutes)

Failure to follow through on this complaint will be a paramount admission that this body lacks the will to police the conduct of parties whom declare themselves to be above the "law" as that term is defined in SCR 60.01 (10); to which they have all subscribed an oath to uphold!

Such failure may prompt a federal claim for 1983 civil rights violations of procedural due process, equal protection under the laws of this state and/or the continuing operation of a corrupt business organization. (RICO).

Your prompt and immediate attention is required, **as I expect to be notified within ten days** from receipt of these documents as to what is going to be done in regards to this matter by these disciplinary boards.

Thank you, Until then, have a pleasant day !

Sincerely,

CC. Judicial Commission &
Board of Attorneys professional responsibility

AFFIDAVIT

1. On February 21, 2003 Fred H. Hazelwood received an ex-parte request for dismissal of a purported action identified as 01- FO- 673.
2. On March 6, 2003 a order was issued from Fred H. Hazelwood.
3. On March 12, 2003 Fred H. Hazelwood received my request for amended sua-sponte order to conform with the nondiscretionary mandatory provision of Wisconsin Statute 801.04 (1) .
4. On March 13, 2003 Fred H. Hazelwood proffered a response suggesting I wait to see if the State responded to his order.
5. On March 19, 2003 Fred H. Hazelwood received my objection to his obvious intention to maintain this non-suit within his court.
6. Fred H. Hazelwood did nothing to correct his intentional mis-application of public policy mandates by March 24, 2003 or March 31, 2003 and continued on with a case he had no jurisdiction over, as evidenced by the record of the case.
7. On March 29,2003 I received a notice from Mark R. Rohrer, District Attorney for

Manitowoc County, that He now has intentions of prosecuting on a defunctus instrument.

8. District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer had in his possession any and all necessary information enabling him to make the determination that 01-FO- 673 never entered this public forum court.
9. On March 31, 2003 Fred H. Hazelwood held his review of 01-FO- 673 contrary to public policy statute 801.04 (1) after having been given proper notice of the lack of subject matter jurisdiction via document he received on February 21, 2003
10. On March 31, 2003 at the sham public forum meeting called by Fred H. Hazelwood, District Attorney Mark R. Rohrer, presented no evidence or statements for the continuance of the non-suit, (good cause) which according to the order of March 6, 2003 was set for that purpose. In violation of public policy mandates 801.04 (1).
11. Mark R. Rohrer presenting no evidence or comment to the Court for the continuance of the suit, Fred H. Hazelwood is in breach of his promise as stated in his response of March 13, 2003. **“ if they don’t, the claim against you will be dismissed and any evidence seized will be returned to you, assuming it isn’t contraband.”**
12. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer have all subscribed to the oath of SCR 40.15
13. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer have all subscribed to the oath of office prior to the undertaking the duties of their respective office.
14. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer have made a solemn promise to uphold the constitutions of the united states and the state of Wisconsin and all laws and precepts thereof.
15. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer disregard for the mandatory administrative rules of procedure, has the effect of abrogation of those mandatory rules.
16. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District

Attorney Mark A. Rohrer disregard for the mandatory administrative rules of procedure, are attempting to usurp a power reserved solely to the legislature of this state.

17. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer by their course of conduct in 01-FO-673 and 03-CM-789 by the documents they created and are a matter of record declare themselves to be above the RULE OF LAW !
18. Upon information and belief Fred H. Hazelwood, Darryl W. Deets and District Attorney Mark A. Rohrer by their course of conduct in 01-FO-673 and 03-CM-789 are attempting to establish the perception of authority and jurisdiction under false Pretenses and in violation of the RULE OF LAW !.
19. Petitioner James Paul Theyerl has no protection from the continuing malicious conduct emanating from this Court making necessary this affidavit of probable cause as demonstrated by the allegation contained herein.

Further you affiant sayitnot;

Signed and dated this _____ day of _____, 200 ____

James Paul Theyerl Auth Rep

The undersigned Notary for the State of Wisconsin did have this person subscribe and swear to the validity of his statement before me, and did affix his signature to this document in my presence on this _____ day of _____, 200 ____ AD.

Notary Public

Date

County of Venue


County

Exp. Date

STATE OF WISCONSIN
JUDICIAL COMMISSION

COPY

Suite 606, Tenney Building
110 East Main Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3328



James C. Alexander
Executive Director

Phone: (608) 266-7637
Fax: (608) 266-8647

November 17, 2003

CONFIDENTIAL

James Paul Theyerl
4123 CTH B
Manitowoc, WI 54220

Dear Mr. Theyerl:

On October 27, 2003, this office received a copy of your letter to Chief Judge Stengel and District Court Administrator Lang. I have read your letter and the attached affidavit and find in them no matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission to investigate.

The jurisdiction of the Commission is limited to allegations of judicial misconduct to determine whether disciplinary action is warranted. The Commission has no authority to review the correctness of judicial decisions or to grant remedies to a party. In your submission, you complain about decisions that the judges made in your case and with the way your case was handled. While these matters for appeal or other legal remedies they are not something the Commission can properly address. I suggest you discuss your rights to appeal or other legal remedies with an attorney.

I am sorry we could not assist you.

Very truly yours,

James C. Alexander
Executive Director

JCA/llb



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

LAWYER REGULATION SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT

COPY

Chairperson: Attorney W. H. Levit, Jr., Milwaukee
Vice Chairperson: Attorney Ann Ustad Smith, Madison

Board Members:

Attorney Bernetta L. Bridge, Madison
Attorney Dennis R. Cimpi, Milwaukee
Clair A. Fowler, Hubertus
Krista L. Ginger, Madison
T. James Kennedy, Kenosha

Attorney Truman Q. McNulty, Milwaukee
Attorney James W. Mohr, Jr., Hartford
M. J. O'Neill, Mayville
Attorney Scott B. Roberts, Stevens Point
Attorney Deborah M. Smith, Madison

Dear Grievant and Respondent:

You recently participated in a grievance procedure handled by the Office of Lawyer Regulation, which is one component of the lawyer regulation system in Wisconsin. The Board of Administrative Oversight, another component of the lawyer regulation system, is charged by the Wisconsin Supreme Court with the responsibility to "monitor the fairness, productivity, effectiveness, and efficiency of the attorney regulation system."

In order to fulfill this responsibility the Board asks that you please take a few moments to fill out the questionnaire on the reverse side of this letter, describing your experience with this process. You are requested to do this anonymously; please also maintain the confidentiality of the grievance by withholding the names of the persons involved in the grievance.

The Board of Administrative Oversight will know nothing about the facts or the merits of the grievance or of its resolution, and cannot conduct a review of your case. Your candid responses, however, will be extremely helpful to the Board in assessing the fairness and efficiency of this system and thereby improving the quality of justice in the State of Wisconsin. A pre-addressed return envelope is enclosed for your convenience in returning this form. Please return the questionnaire within two weeks.

Thank you for your cooperation and input.

Very truly yours,

William H. Levit, Jr.
Chairperson



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF LAWYER REGULATION

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 315

MADISON, WI 53703-3383

Telephone: (608) 267-7274

Toll Free: (877) 315-6941

Fax: (608) 267-1959

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov/olr

COPY

KEITH L. SELLEN

DIRECTOR

JOHN K. O'CONNELL

DEPUTY DIRECTOR - INTAKE

TIMOTHY J. PIERCE

DEPUTY DIRECTOR - INVESTIGATIONS

WILLIAM J. WEIGEL

LITIGATION COUNSEL

JULIE M. FALK

ASSISTANT LITIGATION COUNSEL

MARY HOEFT SMITH

TRUST ACCOUNT PROGRAM

INVESTIGATORS:

NANCY L. WARNER

MELODY RADER-JOHNSON

MARY A. AHLSTROM

JONATHAN ZEISSER

CATHE J. HAHN

KATHRYN SIEVERS

ELIZABETH ESTES

SARA WARD

CYNTHIA SCHALLY

ALICE O'MAHAR

BETH M. KUGLER

November 20, 2003

Mr. James P. Theyerl
4123 CCTH B
Manitowoc, WI 54220

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Re: Inquiry Regarding Atty. Mark Rohrer

Dear Mr. Theyerl:

Our preliminary evaluation indicates no evidence of possible misconduct on the part of District Attorney Rohrer in connection with cases filed against you in Manitowoc County. Therefore, an investigation will not be initiated at this time. We will send a copy of this letter to District Attorney Rohrer to make him aware of your concerns.

The Supreme Court Rules provide that you may request review of the decision to close this matter. Any such request must be in writing, and must be received by the Director within 30 days of the date of this letter. Timely requests for an extension may be granted for good cause shown. Following receipt of a proper request, the Director will review the matter and may affirm the closure or refer the grievance to staff for further evaluation. Pursuant to SCR 22.02(4), the Director's decision is final. If you request review, you will receive written notice of the Director's decision.

Pursuant to SCR 22.40(1), this agency must keep requests for investigation confidential. Our file and all records pertaining to this matter will be expunged after a period of three years. Should you wish to retain your records on this matter, you are free to do so.

Mr. James P. Theyerl
November 20, 2003
Page 2

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention. It is through the receipt of such information that we are made aware of matters that are of concern to the public.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alice O'Mahar".

Alice O'Mahar
Intake Investigator

AO:bc/G1INT

cc: Atty. Mark Rohrer

James Paul Theyerl
4123 CTH B
Manitowoc, Wi 54220

James C Alexander, Ex Dir. Judicial Commission
Suite 606, Tenney Building
110 East Main Street
Madison, WI 53703-3328

December 15, 2003

Greeting: James C. Alexander; W.H. Levit, Jr
& Alice O'Mahar

I am in receipt of letters from each of the oversight boards whom have the responsibility to police members whom run amuck and stray from the charge and promise made to uphold the law.

On November 17, 2003, Mr Alexander you made the admission of receipt of my affidavit of probable cause for misconduct in public office, and a cover letter explaining the necessity for the preparation and submitting of the same to the proper authorities.

As of this date it would appear from the responses I have received from the oversight panels in regards to this matter, neither panel has any desire to investigate the allegations. In the event that my perception of your responses are in **error**, you can submit to me a certified copy of any investigative report that either of the panels have made, including a point by point rebuttal to allegations made against officials of Manitowoc County Court system, proffered by those very persons.

Lacking the production of any such response from those officials or certified copy of any investigative report generated from your respective panels, I will simply accept your silence as a tacit admission that allegations made in my affidavit of probable cause are the facts. A mutual agreement that these officials are guilty of the allegations set forth in my affidavit and cannot be contested in the future.

A passive comment to William. H. Levit Jr in regards to your bureaucratic questionnaire on the reverse side of your response attached to letter from investigator Alice O'Mahar. I will state that the answers to all questions are in the negative. That includes question # 1, which is in fact the basis for my affidavit of probable cause, because **I have never been made a party to any cause of action or case over which officials of Manitowoc County Court system had jurisdiction over.** Supporting evidence to substantiate this can be obtained by reference to case # 03-1122-W filed with the Court of Appeals on April 28, 2003. The Court of Appeals, likewise failed to exercise their supervisory jurisdiction granted under Article 1, Section 9 which was made mandatory by my verified complaint so filed. The Court failed to exercise the bare minimum requirement to schedule a show cause hearing into my allegations against Mr Hazelwood, Mr Rohrer and Joseph F. Bauknecht, Clerk of Courts. This further compounds culpability for

intentional violation of constitutionally protected guarantees of equal protection under the rule of law, and not the whims of public officials action under color of law. This type of conduct if allowed to continue unchecked, goes directly to the independence, integrity and competency of the whole judicial system, and is a direct interference to the proper administration of justice to protect obvious wrong doers.

Which may in fact be an area of concern according to recent news releases last week in regards to the formation of some commission (panel) to conduct a review of the criminal procedures, to reduce the chances of mistakes being made, like were made in the Steven Avery case.

Mr Hazelwood cannot now claim ignorance as to his intentional misconduct, for he has had an active role in the establishment of the rules of civil procedure. Mr Hazelwood's name appears as a benchbook committee member appointed by the Office of Judicial Education in 1992. This committee, it must be assumed to be the body that is responsible for the formulation of the rules to be followed. by judges.

Nonetheless I have been damaged by the malicious conduct of those officials, I have been denied the enjoyment of the fruits of my labor, (confiscation of my property on two occasions) and forced against my will to make a payment to them to forestall their wrath. Which Mr Hazelwood made clear mention of what he intended to do.

Mr Alexander and Alice O'Mahar, in the event that you fail to see that the above paragraphs constitutes prosecutorial and judicial misconduct which in turn invokes the jurisdiction of these panels, totally contrary to what has been stated in the responses.

Your panels are merely attempting to create the perception or appearance that Manitowoc County Officials action is cloaked in the authority of law. No matter how you try to spin your responses the record speaks for itself. (SEE. case # 03-1122-W) Your attempts may appear to give some resemblance to some bonafide process, without the substance of any legal process ever being achieved,(simulation) designed to trick and deceive for the protection of the wrong doers. SEE: Wisconsin Statute 946.68 (1g)(1r) (a) & 946.12 (1)(3).

This is not a complicated issue, the law as defined in SCR 60.01(10) is written with the intention that all people in government are constrained to follow. When governmental officials violate those constraints, they are trespassers of the law. Your obvious casual response to criminal misconduct in public office, has prompted me to issue a COL violation warning directed towards you for your misuse of power.

Your arbitrary attempt to dismiss a compliant properly made and the apparent attempt to forgo any investigation into this matter makes this action necessary. This letter serves as my statement, in the section entitled "statement of citizen". In the event you don't have a clue what " UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW" means, I have attached the legal definition to the form for your edification and study. Likewise this form applies to Alice O' Mahar and William. H. Levit Jr for similar abuse and misuse of authority without providing a separate form for each individual.

I would expect that you and all parties associated with these oversight panels would distance themselves from the vicarious liability associated with failing to act when you are in receipt of facts in the affidavit of probable cause.

Am I to conclude that it is your intention to be **willfully blind** to the obvious and intentional misconduct of Manitowoc County public officials. To act in such a manner is to become an accessory after the fact and willing participant in the constructive fraud. **Should you believe that this is not the case,** then supply me with the law, chapter and verse, **within 30 days,** overriding my affidavit of probable cause which remains un-controverted.

I have done my duty by reporting felony misconduct under Title 18 Chapter 1 Section 4 of the United States Code, **Misprision of Felony**, and also Wisconsin Supreme Court procedures.

As the old saying goes " the rest is up to you ". Have a very pleasant day !

Sincerely,

Enclosures: Color of law violation Form
Definition of "under color of State law "

CC. W. H Levit Jr.
Alice O'Mahar;

First Class mail to, Office of Lawyer Regulation
110 East Main Street Suite 315
Madison WI 53703-3383

Postal Certification # 7002-0860-0007-7739-5188 sent to Judicial Commission in care of
James C Alexander, Ex Dir. Judicial Commission
Suite 606, Tenney Building
110 East Main Street
Madison, WI 53703-3328

James Paul Theyerl
4123 CTH B
Manitowoc, Wi 54220

Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees

Attention: Committee chairpersons

Senator David A. Zien Senate Judiciary Chairman
Room 15 South State Capitol
P.O. Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882
Postal Certification # 7002-0860-0007-7741-3066

Representative Mark Gundrum Assembly Judiciary Chairman
Room 19 North State Capitol
P.O. Box 8952
Madison, WI 53708-8952
Postal Certification # 7002-0860-0007-7741-3011

March 4, 2004

Gentleman:

Attached to this notice is a correspondence that I have written in response to recent action that was supposed to have happened on February 18, 2004 according to the Manitowoc Herald Times. I have included a copy of the printed article and other relevant documents with this notice so that you have a small sampling of the abuses that these agents have been inflicting on me over the last several years.

You committee chairpersons need to be made aware of the corrupt judicial department. The Revenue department and law enforcement agents of republic state are also made suspect to criminal activity for their blatant disregard for the Rule of Law by omission or commission.

All these actors apparently believe that they can change, amend and/or completely ignore the laws of this state including the constitutional provisions they are required to maintain for the inhabitants of Manitowoc County and the republic state.

I have made an affidavit of probable cause for misconduct in public office and have submitted that to the OLR and the Judicial Commission with those bodies passing on their mandated functions. Two notices sent to Chief Justice Abrahamson which were met with the same wilfully blind attitude, dereliction of duty and responsibility by complete silence.

The very least that I demanded is that those public officials answer the charges that have been asserted against them. The bodies responsibility for prosecuting and investigating are not even willing to preform the limited function of investigation.

This fact should be of particular importance to the committee chairs because the courts personal are actively engaged in the usurpation of the republic states constitutional powers, thus rendering the legislature impotent. Allowing this conduct to continue is sending this state on the fast track towards judicial tyranny. When there are bodies established by the supreme court for

handling the investigative functions and they refuse, that is already judicial tyranny in my humble opinion. It is readily apparent that the corporate structure STATE OF WISCONSIN investigative bodies wish to distance themselves from this matter. If that is not a matter of fact, then the STATE Department of Justice would already be involved with an investigation effort.

I believe that the responsibility is now upon you as chairs of your respective committees to activate the republic side of government for my remedy, either through **Article VII Section 1** or **Article VII Section 13 Justices and Judges removal by address** of the Wisconsin Constitution. Whatever process that you follow to arrive at that end is now your responsibility to set into motion. If that process invokes the commissioning of a state grand jury, I will willingly offer testimony, as my above noted affidavit only involves parties with a connection to cause 01-FO-673. Other parties are now subject to being connected with criminal activity on the new simulation of legal process in connection with 04-FO-47.

The courts personal, judges or anyone else for that matter, don't preside over random and wholesale changing of the laws at their whim. The constitutional mandates are that the public laws emanate from the legislative branches of government. The Courts function is to punish those who break those laws. When they (OLR and Judicial Board) won't even investigate the matter, they are condoning the lawlessness of public servants and their respective boards are merely a window dressing hoax propping up the entire corporate "legal establishment".

Thank you in advance for taking a bold step in rolling back judicial tyranny !!!!!

Sincerely,

This is a response to your article that appeared in your paper in regards to certain allegations of ordinance violations that were purportedly committed by me. Since this paper chose to print this article that has no basis in fact, the record needs to be corrected for the public to see. The kangaroo court of Manitowoc County convicted and sentenced someone, without affording that someone any due process or equal protection of law. The make believe court of Manitowoc County by and through Patrica A. Koppa entered a default judgment against someone who in the judgment document states didn't appear. I was there, and at least a half dozen witnesses will verify that fact, not counting the officers of Manitowoc County Sheriffs department who know me and cannot deny my presence before the official. One must begin examine just what is going on in these "make believe courts". One must begin to question why they will do anything, including the commission of a felony (946.12 Wis Stat;) to cover up the fraud they are inflicting upon everyone the drag before them under faulty process, which makes them "make believe courts".

There needs to be a brief history of my ongoing battle with the DNR brought to the light of day for members of the community who do not know me, that they might find it interesting.

In the spring of 2000, I spend a total of 21 days in the Kewaunee County jail under no charges and no lawful process. It needs to be brought to the attention of the general public that the Assistant Prosecutor from Kewaunee County just happens to be married to a party whom is/was employed by the DNR. They sentenced me to six months, but cut me loose after 21 days. Any ideas as to why?

In September 2000 the Department of Revenue decided to file within the Manitowoc County Clerk of Courts office (without authority and without adherence to the procedure) a Tax Warrant and another on 02-13- 01. I have in my possession copies of an extensive letter writing campaign with Department of Revenue personal asking them to validate their specious and unsupported claim. (\$30,583.00 in SALES Tax less interest and penalties) Naturally I have received no validation or substantiation of the existence of any liability to the (STATE) Department of Revenue. On the night of 09-11-2001 the sanctimonious DNR agents again decided to flex their make believe authority and issue a citation for trolling without a licence based upon the " make believe" Tax Warrants. Their excuse for non-issuance. Do you see a pattern developing here?

After several exchanges of the citation by mail asking them to bring it (citation) into compliance with all the mandatory procedures of Wisconsin Statutes 801.02 for getting into the public forum Circuit Court, to no end result. Two weeks before date to appear in court I motioned the clerk of Courts for a continuance from the date scheduled on the citation, because I would be out of town hunting in the State of Idaho. A trip that was pre-arranged a year prior, due to purchase of a hunting licence from that State. The clerk never informed me of another date which was within his authority to reschedule for good cause shown..

I suspect that because I insisted they (DNR agents) bring there citation (complaint) into compliance with 801.02 Wi Statutes, which they never did. They (DNR agents and Koppa) decided to move forward in total disregard for the rules of procedure as set up by the legislature of this state and Supreme Court. The matter (01-FO-673) laid dormant until I petitioned the Court to dismiss under a provision of statutes for failure to prosecute in February 2003. Fred Hazelwood issued an order stating the action would be dismissed on 03-31 03 unless the prosecutor Rohrer did something. After the passage of one year from filing, the statutes of limitations has run, and the matter cannot "LAWFULLY " be kept in the court.

Apparently that didn't seem to be of any consequence to Mr Hazelwood, as there were other proceedings after 03-31-03 on that same case, where the court extracted a payment from me (extortion) as he promised earlier he would not hesitate in the issuance of a warrant for my arrest.

On January 15, 2004 I was served documents again not in compliance with mandatory provisions of law, and a summons to appear on January 21, 2004. I again put in a continuance on (January 16,) due to the unreasonable shortness of time given for making an appearance in court. Mr Hazelwood issued another order (January 20) denying my continuance demand, and ridiculed me for having the audacity for trying (his opinion) to tell the State Attorney Rohrer when he should come to court, then recuses himself from the matter.

On January 21, 2004 District Attorney Rohrer filed yet another amended summons not in compliance with even the minimum mandatory procedures for the appearance date of February 18, 2004. There is presently before Patrick L. Willis an order to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction.(Proper service) Judicial notice and motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction filed on February 17, 2004. (copy herein provided) Time has expired for the issuance of the order, so it appears another court official by mutual agreement condones criminal activity. **Are you getting the picture yet! They will do what they feel like doing and the law (rules) be damned.**

Everyone's substantive and procedural due process rights are being violated each and every day. The courts are the biggest scam and con game around, with the exception of the Bar Attorneys who run and are responsible for the continuation of the scam. The scam could not be

maintained without their mandated cooperation, the privilege to practice law through a licence which doesn't exist. If anyone wants to challenge that statement feel free to do so. That may be another topic for another newspaper article.

They (judges and attorneys) hold themselves out to be administering justice and law, that's a joke. It's a business operated for the sole purpose of profit. Think about it, they (the courts and attorneys) manufacture nothing, have nothing to sell, and the only service provided (if you call that a service) is the extraction of money from the un-suspecting clients of attorneys who always get paid, win or lose, and \ or in addition you are made responsible for payment to courts in the form of fines and forfeitures. If what I have just stated are not the facts of the matter, why don't the District Attorneys and other agents of government simply follow the rules of procedure? The answer: it's a scam operation for profit at the peoples expense. Ask Steven Avery who paid dearly for their "mistakes" as Mr Hazelwood has stated they were in your paper on November 30, 2003.

When you confront these public servants, they will always say that they follow the laws. In my opinion; most don't have the slightest idea what the law dictates, the elected servants only do what they are told to do by the powers that be. (CORPORATE STATE) This is not meant as a put down to the persons who actually do most of the work (employees) in these offices, and I hope they don't take it as such. But the fact remains that this country is run on the well established principle that mere court personal, court commissioners, judges, governors or even the president can change or modify the laws at their whim.

I have personally confronted the Clerk of Courts (Bauknecht) with Sheriff Peterson at my side, asking why the statutory procedures are not being followed, all the clerk had to say is " that's the way we do it ". Notice the fact that he did not say that you are wrong about what you think the procedures are. That has never happened, not from the Sheriff, District Attorney, DNR attorneys, Department of Revenue Attorneys, Judges, Office of the Judicial Commission, Office of lawyer Regulation or even Chief Justice Shirley Abrahamson, all of whom have been notified of criminal misconduct being committed and they all remain mute.

There is a lot more history to bring to the public's attention, but space and time is limited here. Remember these public servants are just that, they are our servants and its time to hold them accountable to their oaths and promises to support the rights of the people and the laws. If not, then their oaths and promises are meaningless.

I have made a formal criminal complaint to the law enforcement community and agencies responsible for enforcement, but due to the nature of the allegations and parties involved, it seems to have fallen upon blind eyes and deaf ears. So now we are in real court of public opinion, ignorance of the law is no excuse, and no person involved in this matter can claim ignorance anymore. Everything that I have brought to the public's attention today, is being done with malice and intent.

A copy rite document, alterations prohibited.

James Paul Theyerl
4123 CTH B
Manitowoc, Wi 54220